- 1 A Exactly.
- 2 Q But you provide the programming?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Now, when you received faxes from WJUX, when Carol
- 5 Montana faxes down to you lists of public service
- announcements or BC programming from WJUX that it is
- 7 produced by WVOS, you are the network. You control the
- 8 network programming. You have complete discretion to reject
- 9 or accept those PSAs, correct?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 Q And if you don't want to carry the WVOS
- programming produced by WJUX, you can say, "I don't want to
- 13 do it"?
- 14 A That's correct.
- Okay. Do you know of any other network agreement
- whereby the network basically provides 100 percent of the
- 17 programming to an affiliate station?
- 18 A Sure.
- 19 Q Can you give us some examples?
- 20 A I worked for one a number of years ago, WREN,
- Dover, New Jersey, where they sent an oldies format called
- the oldies channel, and we took it 100 percent of the time.
- 23 Q Do you know of any network that produces all of
- the local advertising spots for its affiliate station?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Besides Jukebox Network?
- 2 A Yes.
- 4 A The one that was on WVNM, Garden State Radio News.
- 5 Q Garden State Radio, they produce all the local
- 6 spots for WVNJ for their entire --
- 7 A All the local spots? That's what my -- no, I'm
- 8 sorry. They provide local spots but not all of them.
- 9 Q That's local spots in the context of the news
- 10 programming, I guess, that they provide to the station?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Do you know of any network that provides all the
- local spots for the entire broadcast day, all the station
- 14 identifications for the affiliate station, all the news
- broadcasts, all the letter broadcasts, all the entertainment
- 16 programming and any other programming that's carried by the
- 17 affiliate station?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Besides Jukebox Network?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 O And what would that be?
- 22 A When I worked for WAW-FM, they provided a network
- affiliation agreement to a station out in Long Island, 107.1
- 24 FM, who I can't remember, but they set it up as a network,
- yeah, and they provided them with everything.

- Q Was this a station on Long Island that was owned
- 2 by the network?
- 3 A No.
- 4 O Did a network own Station WNEW at that time?
- 5 A It may have.
- 6 Q Well, you worked there, didn't you?
- 7 A Yes, that's right. I don't know if Westinghouse
- 8 is a network or not.
- 9 Q Mr. Turro, I want to refer you to -- do you have
- 10 the Mass Media Bureau exhibits in front of you?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q Turn to page 143 of the Mass Media Bureau exhibit,
- 13 Bates stamp 143.
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q That's your request for a declaratory ruling
- 16 regarding --
- 17 A Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me just mention that's part
- 19 of Bureau Exhibit 8.
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- 21 Q I want to direct your attention to page 3 of your
- letter where you state that "Any air time purchased by the
- 23 primary station by the translator licensee would abide by
- 24 the Commission rules regarding purchase of brokered air
- 25 time."

- 1 A Yes,
- 2 Q And item four below that says, "Advertising may be
- 3 solely for broadcast during such brokered air time to
- 4 support this program."
 - 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q When you wrote this letter, sir, what did you mean
- 7 by "brokered air time"?
- 8 A Brokered air time means purchasing air time on the
- 9 facility.
- 10 Q Would it encompass purchasing 100 percent of the
- 11 air time on the station?
- 12 A Oh, it could, sure.
- 13 Q I'm not asking if it could. I'm asking what was
- 14 your -- what was your meaning when you wrote this letter?
- 15 A One hundred percent of the time?
- 16 Q It was?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 A In your deposition you stated that you consider
- 19 the time brokerage agreement to involve the purchase of
- 20 discrete blocks of time?
- 21 A No, I said a few hours a day, I believe I said.
- 22 Q That's correct, that's what you said.
- 23 A Right.
- Q And do you believe that interpreting your letter
- in 1991 to the Commission about the purchase of brokered air

- time you meant -- in your mind when you wrote that letter
- you meant the purchase of 100 percent of the time on a
- 3 primary station?
- 4 A Yes.
 - 5 Q But you didn't state that to the Commission in
 - 6 your letter, did you?
 - 7 A No, I didn't.
 - 8 Q Do you think that might be important to the
 - 9 Commission?
 - 10 A I quess I thought if it were important to the
 - 11 Commission, they would have mentioned it in the return
 - 12 letter to me.
 - 13 Q Oh, I see. So you tell them certain facts and
 - don't make a complete disclosure, and they send you a letter
- 15 back and --
- MR. NAFTALIN: Objection. That's very
- 17 argumentative.
- 18 JUDGE STEINBERG: I'll sustain it. If you want to
- 19 rephrase it, you can ask it differently.
- MR. HELMICK: All right.
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- 22 Q As a matter of fact, Mr. Turro, the Commissions
- 23 has told you that if they had known, according to the
- exhibit here, if they had known that you were going to
- 25 broadcast 100 percent of the time on the primary station,

- they never would have given you that declaratory ruling; is
- 2 that not correct?
- A May I see where that's written?
- 4 Q Turn to Bureau Exhibit No. 1, Bates stamp page 12.
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Would you read the second sentence on that -- the
- 7 first full paragraph on page 12, the second sentence?
- 8 A Can you tell me what it starts off with, please?
- 9 Q "That opinion letter in response," and the
- 10 continuing sentence in the next paragraph.
- 11 A I'm sorry? Read the entire paragraph?
- 12 Q Go ahead, read the entire paragraph.
- MR. RILEY: We're at Bates stamp 12?
- MR. HELMICK: Yes.
- 15 (Witness reviews document.)
- 16 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 17 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 18 Q Doesn't that say that if the Commission had known
- 19 you were going to broadcast 100 percent of the time on a
- 20 primary station, they would have not given you the ruling
- 21 that they gave you?
- 22 A I don't do it on 100 percent time.
- 23 Q You don't?
- 24 A MMBI has the capability --
- Q I'm not asking you -- Mr. Turro, how many times as

- 1 MMBI either deleted or put in their own programming in the
- 2 entire time since you have had a network relationship with
- 3 them?
- 4 A I don't have -- there have been times. I don't
- 5 have an accurate representation of it.
- 6 0 Well, we know there was a time when your network
- feed went out and they put their own programming on the air.
- 8 A That is correct.
- 9 Q And we know there was two occasions in 1997, in
- 10 November, when they had -- or October or November time frame
- when they had their local elections in Sullivan County where
- they put two -- originated two political spots.
- Other than those times, are there any other times
- that they preempted your network programming?
- 15 A None that I can recall.
- 16 Q Thank you, sir.
- 17 (Pause.)
- 18 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 19 Q I think your testimony was this morning, Mr.
- Turro, that originally the network agreement with Monticello
- 21 Mountaintop Broadcasting, Inc., which was dated and executed
- on October 17, 1994, I believe you stated that around
- January 1 of 1995 BCCBF ceased to be the network provider.
- I think that was your -- and FM 103.1 became the network
- 25 provider?

- 1 A That is correct.
- O Now, I also believe you stated that at least there
- 3 was some commercial time broadcast on the network prior to
- 4 January 1, 1995. There is reference to some political time
- 5 that was sold?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q That's network political time?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And was that sold by BCCBF?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Who was it sold by?
- 12 A It was sold by FM 103.1, Inc.
- 13 Q Well, I'm confused.
- 14 If BCCBF was the network provider up until January
- 15 1, 1995, how does FM 103, Inc. become a network provider
- 16 before January 1?
- 17 A BCCBF was a not-for-profit organization, and
- 18 probably it could have sold the political advertising. I
- 19 really don't know. We had FM 103.1, Inc. ready to step in
- and take over the commercial entity and for-profit entity.
- 21 So FM 103.1, Inc. sold it so that we -- I believe, that we
- 22 were obeying the laws of the IRS and not -- you know, not
- make the non-commercial a for-profit commercial entity.
- 24 Q And you have a network agreement between BCCBF, a
- not-for-profit entity, and MMBI, a for-profit entity, and we

- 1 have some other entity out there selling commercial network
- 2 time for a network entity that is a not-for-profit entity;
- 3 is that correct?
- A Could you repeat the question, please?
- 5 Q I dare not repeat the question.
- 6 MR. HELMICK: I think I would have it read back.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, the question was you have
- 8 a not-for-profit entity, BCCBF, and a for-profit entity,
- 9 MMBI.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And you have a for-profit
- 12 entity, FM 103.1 --
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- selling commercial
- advertising to be broadcast over a for-profit entity, MMBI,
- 16 and, I quess -- FM -- maybe we ought to have it reread.
- 17 (Laughter.)
- 18 JUDGE STEINBERG: It was basically how --
- 19 THE WITNESS: I think I have it. I have it.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Basically, how come you have a
- 21 for-profit entity selling advertising for a not --
- 22 basically, would you agree that FM 103.1, Inc. was doing
- 23 this on behalf of BCCBF?
- THE WITNESS: It was doing it so that we wouldn't
- violate -- and I've got to tell you, BCCBF probably could

- 1 have sold the advertising. I really don't know. I'm sure
- 2 it probably could have. But the plan here was from January
- 3 1st that the network would become a for-profit entity. And
- 4 so there was that transition period there for approximately
- 5 two months where you have your not-for-profit, your enhanced
- 6 underwriting. You had your for-profit FM 103.1, Inc. And
- 7 rather than violate IRS rules, which we probably would have
- 8 been doing anyway, we just let FM 103.1, Inc. sell the
- 9 commercials and run the -- because it's going to be selling
- 10 the commercials anyway after January 1st.
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- 12 Q In fact, FM 103.1, Inc. was selling commercials
- for a bit of time before October of '94, weren't they?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q When did they begin selling commercials,
- 16 commercial time?
- A An approximation would be some time in March of
- 18 1993. It would be an approximation.
- 19 Q And this was selling commercial time for what?
- 20 A It was selling commercial -- it was selling 30
- 21 second commercials for the translator.
- 22 Q The translator where, at Fort Lee, New Jersey?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q W276AO?
- 25 A That is correct.

- 1 Q And you would be the -- you are the sole
- shareholder of FM 103, Inc?
- 3 A That is correct.
- 4 Q FM 103.1.
- 5 A Inc.
- 6 O Now, sir, what percentage of -- sorry. FM 103.1,
- 7 Inc., they are Jukebox Network today?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And they were Jukebox Network -- they had been
- Jukebox Network ever since, using your -- a date which you
- 11 came up with, ever since January 1, 1995?
- 12 A That is correct.
- Q Okay. With the exception of these local spots
- that were sold in October November 1997 time frame, the
- 15 network -- all the commercial spots broadcast by WJUX were
- originated by Jukebox Network?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And those would be sold by FM 103.1, Inc.?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q What percentage of the local spots -- of the
- 21 network spots sold by FM 103.1, Inc. are bought by Bergen
- 22 County business establishments, an estimate?
- 23 A Bergen County businesses?
- 24 Q Yes.
- 25 A Forty-five percent.

- 1 (Pause.)
- 2 O Do you still have your deposition transcript
- 3 before you, sir?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Would you turn to page 157 in your deposition
- 6 transcript?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And look at line 23 on page 157?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And read through page 158, line seven.
- 11 A Okay.
- 12 Q Does that refresh your recollection, sir, as to
- the percentage of network spots are bought or focused on
- 14 Bergen County and what percentage are focused on Sullivan
- 15 County?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That wasn't the original
- 17 question. The original question was bought.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I believe your question was what
- 19 percentage of Bergen County businesses buy advertising on
- the network, and I said 45 percent.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You might want to ask the
- 22 follow-up question --
- MR. HELMICK: All right.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- using the other word.
- MR. HELMICK: I see where the problem is, the

- deposition stating -- you are stating on the deposition is a
- 2 little -- is a different question.
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- 4 Q What percentage of the network ads -- we'll use
- 5 the deposition statement language -- are focused towards
- 6 Bergen County as opposed to Sullivan County?
- 7 A I'm sorry? Mr. Helmick, what page is that on?
- 8 Q What -- you don't have to look at the deposition.
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q What portion of the Jukebox Network commercial
- 11 advertisements are focused towards Bergen County business as
- opposed to Sullivan County businesses?
- 13 A Focused?
- 14 Q That's the word that was used in the deposition.
- 15 A About 90 percent.
- 16 Q Ninety percent being what? Focused towards Bergen
- 17 County businesses?
- 18 A Bergen County, Rockland regional -- actually, it
- may even be less than that now that I think about it. Maybe
- 20 as little as 80 percent.
- 21 Q Mr. Turro, what portion of -- to your knowledge,
- 22 since you are the principal of Jukebox network, what would
- 23 be your estimate as to what portion of the non-entertainment
- 24 programming broadcast by the network -- by non-entertainment
- 25 programming I mean news, weather, public service

- announcements, public affairs programming, exclusive of
- 2 commercial time -- do you understand the question?
- Forget the music programming broadcasting by the
- 4 network, forget the commercial time sold by the network.
- 5 Restrict your focus on news, public affairs, public service
- announcements, weather, and any other programming that may
- 7 fall through the cracks that's not music program, not
- 8 musical entertainment programming and not commercial time.
- 9 Looking at that programming, what portion of
- Jukebox Radio Network programming is directed or focused
- 11 towards Bergen County and what portion is focused towards
- 12 Sullivan County and the surrounding service area of WJUX?
- 13 A I would just have to take a rough stab at that. I
- would have to sit down and figure it out, but it would
- probably be better than 60 percent Sullivan County.
- 16 Q Is that today, sir?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Let's look at the time period -- I should have put
- 19 a time period on this. The time period from the time
- Jukebox went on the air in October of 19 -- in '94, until
- 21 say August of 1995.
- 22 A I can't accurately answer that.
- 23 Q Now, using your figure right now, assuming your
- figure of 60 -- what did you say, 60 percent --
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q -- for Sullivan County now?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q Using that estimate now, what would you say the
- 4 percentage of programming would be in that time period I
- 5 gave you, early 1995?
- 6 A I can't venture a guess.
- 7 (Pause.)
- 8 Q Now, one follow-up question. I just noticed I
- 9 forgot to ask you on when we were discussing, remember the
- differences in concept between a network affiliation
- agreement and time brokerage agreement? Remember those
- 12 lines of questions?
- I want to refer you to page -- Bates stamped page
- 14 118 of the Mass Media Bureau exhibits. It would be Mass
- 15 Media Bureau Exhibit 8. This is your response of July 27,
- 16 1995, to Mr. Goldstein?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And would you look at the last -- would you read
- 19 to yourself the last sentence of the continuation paragraph?
- 20 A I'm sorry. What does that start off with?
- 21 Q It starts, "While I do not believe." It's the
- last sentence in that continuation paragraph.
- MR. NAFTALIN: What page are you on? I'm sorry.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Page 118.
- MR. HELMICK: Bates stamp page 118.

- 1 MR. NAFTALIN: Thanks.
- 2 (Witness reviews document.)
- 3 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 4 Q Have you read that, sir?
 - 5 A Yes.
 - 6 Q You did state -- represent to the Commission that
 - you did not believe that the network affiliation agreement
 - 8 with WJUX was a brokerage agreement? Is that not what that
 - 9 says, sir?
 - 10 A Yes.
 - 11 Q Thank you.
 - JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes, that's not what it says, or
 - 13 yes, that's what it says?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question,,
- 15 please?
- 16 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 17 Q Did you not represent to the Commission that the
- 18 network affiliation agreement you had with WJUX, you
- 19 believed that that network affiliation agreement was not a
- 20 brokerage agreement?
- 21 A Did you start that question by saying, "did you
- 22 not"?
- 23 Q No, let's -- all right, let me -- and I apologize.
- I'm not trying to trick you or mess you up here. I think
- 25 everyone is getting tired. I apologize.

- 1 Did you state to the commission in your response
- of July 27, 1995, to Mr. Goldstein that you did not -- you
- 3 believe that the network affiliation agreement that you had
- 4 with WJUX was not a brokerage agreement?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Thank you.
- 7 Mr. Turro, this is just a one item question. You
- 8 have testified that the Pomona receiving antenna -- excuse
- 9 me. I have to restate this. That the Fort Lee receive
- antenna pointed towards the Pomona facility, correct; you
- 11 understand that?
- The Fort Lee facility on the rooftop of
- 13 Mediterranean Towers has a series of antennas; one of which
- is pointed towards Pomona; one of which is pointed towards
- 15 Monticello?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q On the day that the FCC inspector did his signal
- 18 generation test that you became extremely irritated, that
- 19 was on May 15, I believe, 1995?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q I believe your testimony was that on that date the
- 22 Fort Lee facility was not utilizing the rooftop antenna
- 23 pointed towards Pomona but was utilizing an antenna in the
- 24 basement?
- 25 A That is correct.

- 1 Q And that furthermore the rooftop antenna pointed
- 2 towards Pomona had been vandalized?
- 3 A Well, it had been vandalized prior to that and I
- 4 had repaired it and stuck it back in its mount.
 - 5 Q Was that the only antenna on the roof that was
- 6 vandalized?
- 7 A No.
- 8 O What other antennas were vandalized?
- 9 A The antenna for WJUX Monticello had been
- 10 vandalized also.
- 11 Q Did you report any -- do you have any records of
- reporting this to the police or anything?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q What was the -- what did they do to vandalize it?
- 15 A Someone took the four volts that hold the antenna
- for Pomona, it's mounted on a pipe, they took out the four
- volts, loosened them, and pulled the antenna down on the
- 18 roof, where I might add it still worked and still played. I
- only found out by accident that it happened. I went up
- there to check the facility, which I do maybe once a month
- sporadically, and i went up there and saw the antenna on the
- 22 roof, and I knew that someone had loosened it because all
- 23 the bolts were taken out.
- Then another period later someone had -- on
- another occasion someone had cut the cables for the

- 1 Monticello receive facility, which was further away from
- 2 that, and just removed it.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: If the Pomona antenna -- you
- 4 said the Pomona antenna, they loosened the bolts and they
- 5 took the bolts with them?
- 6 THE WITNESS: No. They left them on the ground.
- 7 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, they left them on the
- 8 ground.
- 9 THE WITNESS: On the rooftop.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: So the antenna was flopped over
- 11 on the roof?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: But it still worked anyway?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: Then why did you put it in the
 - 16 basement?
 - 17 THE WITNESS: Well, because I figured the next
 - 18 time they would come back and steal that or take that
 - 19 because they had taken the one for WJUX Monticello. So I
 - said if someone is going to play games with these things,
- let me put it where no one can get to.
- MR. ARONOWITZ: Could we go off the record for one
- 23 second, Your Honor?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
- 25 (Pause off the record.)

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, back on the record.
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- 3 Q Mr. Turro, if you were so concerned with
- 4 vandalization of your equipment on the roof of the
- 5 Mediterranean Towers, why didn't you just permanently move
- 6 your facilities down in the basement?
- 7 A I basically did.
- 8 Q Are you operating from the basement of the
- 9 Mediterranean Towers this day?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Mr. Turro, there is a statement on page 2 of your
- direct testimony, which is Turro Exhibit No. 1, you don't
- 13 need to look at it.
- 14 A Okay.
- 15 Q It just says that you have had a dream to fill a
- 16 radio niche for Bergen County, and that for many years it's
- been your dream to provide FM radio service to Bergen
- 18 County.
- 19 A That is correct.
- 21 1986; did you not, sir?
- 22 A Correct. March 13, 1986.
- 23 Q And what was the translator rebroadcasting at that
- 24 time?
- 25 A WPST Trenton.

- 1 O And did it continue to broadcast that station for
- 2 how long?
- 3 A A few years.
- 4 Q Okay. In any rate, about four months after you
 - 5 were on the air with the Fort Lee translator, you went to
 - 6 the Commission and you sought a waiver of the rules to
 - 7 originate programming focused towards Bergen County on your
 - 8 Fort Lee translator; did you not, sir?
 - 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q And that request was denied by the staff, correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Denied by the full Commission review?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And the Commission's denial was sustained by the
- 15 Court of Appeals on -- the D.C. Court of Appeals?
 - 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q So the Commission basically said, no direct
- origination other than your 30 second commercial spots on
- 19 the Fort Lee translator?
- 20 A Basically, yes.
- 21 Q Now, on July of 1989, you filed comments MM Docket
- 22 88-140, urging that the FCC amend its translator rules to
- permit translators such as W276AQ serving counties with no
- 24 present or future possibility of FM allocations to originate
- 25 programming, did you not, sir?

- 1 A That sounds correct.
- 2 O And this proposal was again rejected by the
- 3 Commission?
- 4 A Yes.
 - 5 Q And upon reconsideration was denied?
 - 6 A Yes.
 - 7 Q So once again the Commission said no direct
 - 8 origination on your Fort Lee translator?
 - 9 A Yes.
 - 10 Q Now, in January 1991, you file this request for an
 - informal declaratory ruling with the Commission staff,
- requesting whether it's permissible to broker air time on a
- 13 primary FM station?
- 14 A That is correct.
- 15 Q And later that year Roy Stewart sent the letter
- which he had a number of conditions in it, but basically
- said that if you meet these conditions, they would approve
- the brokerage of air time on a primary FM station via
- 19 translator license?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q I believe yesterday you used the logic to -- the
- logical argument to say that the Commission rules permit a
- 23 primary FM station to provide technical assistance to a
- 24 translator operator, and the rules are silent as to whether
- 25 the translator operator can provide technical assistance to

- the primary station. Logic would dictate that the
- translator operator should be permitted to provide technical
- 3 assistance to the primary station.
- 4 A That's what I said, yes.
- O Okay. Now, using the same logic, sir, the
- 6 Commission had told you over and over again that you cannot
- 7 original programming on a translator station in Fort Lee,
- 8 New Jersey, you cannot directly originate programming on
- 9 that translator in Fort Lee, New Jersey, right?
- 10 A Thirty seconds an hour you can, yes.
- 11 O Other than the 30 seconds an hour?
- 12 A And emergency messages.
- 13 Q Wouldn't logic dictate that you cannot indirectly
- originate programming on a Fort Lee translator by producing
- all the programming in Dumont, New Jersey, sending it up to
- 16 WJUX, and bringing it back down to Fort Lee, New Jersey?
- 17 MR. NAFTALIN: Object to the question. It's
- 18 assuming some kind of uniform definition of logic or the two
- 19 situations are so the same that the same logic would apply.
- 20 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, I'll overrule the
- 21 objection. Let's hear what the answer is.
- THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question.
- MR. HELMICK: I think the question speaks for
- 24 itself. I will leave it in the record as the way it is.
- 25 (Pause.)

- 1 MR. HELMICK: I'll rephrase this question, just
- 2 think that this might really simplify it.
- 3 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 4 Q Logically that which you cannot do directly you
 - should not be able to do indirectly, would that follow
 - 6 logically?
 - 7 MR. RILEY: Irrelevant, Your Honor. I think we
 - 8 are getting --
 - 9 JUDGE STEINBERG: I would rather keep it in
 - 10 specifics because --
- MR. HELMICK: All right. Well, we will come back
- 12 to that question.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I would rather keep it in
- 14 specifics.
- MR. HELMICK: All right.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: There might be logically things
- that you can't do but the task code lets you do.
- MR. HELMICK: That's fine, Your Honor. Let's not
- 19 belabor that.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Depending on how you structure
- 21 yourself.
- BY MR. HELMICK:
- Q All right, Mr. Turro, in 1993, you did acquire a
- 24 broadcast outlet in Bergen County, did you not, sir?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And what was that?
- 2 A WRRH Franklin Lakes.
- 3 Q And that's -- were those the call letters when you
- 4 acquired the station?
 - 5 A Yes.
 - 6 Q And you subsequently changed the call letters to
 - 7 WJUX?
 - 8 A Yes.
 - 9 Q And WJUX Franklin Lakes was a 10 watt non-
- 10 commercial station?
- 11 MR. NAFTALIN: Objection as to relevance.
- MR. HELMICK: It's a background question, Your
- 13 Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I'll accept that for
- 15 presentation.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- MR. NAFTALIN: Okay.
- 18 BY MR. HELMICK:
- 19 Q And you in fact operated WJUX Franklin Lakes for a
- period -- when did you go on the air in 1993? March,
- 21 sometime around there?
- 22 A Yes, around there.
- 23 Q And you operated WJUX in Franklin Lakes from March
- 24 1993 through October 25 of 1994, did you not, sir?
- 25 A Approximately.